



Modelling of Dependence in Impulsive Interference and Copual Theory



Chris (Ce) ZHENG
IEMN-IRCICA, CNRS
University of Lille 1

Ce.zheng@etudiant.univ-lille1.fr



1. Modeling of Interference
2. SCMA
3. Copula Theory
4. Simulations



Modeling of Interference

Interference Model

I. Classic Gaussian Model:

- 1) Impulsiveness ---- low tail probability
- 2) Dependence --- i.i.d

II. Modeling of Impulsiveness

Alpha-stable Model --- long tail in PDF

III. Modeling of Dependence:

- 1) Sub-Gaussian Model
- 2) Copula Theory



Modeling of Interference

Two determinants of interference^[1]:

1. Path Law

2. Network Geometry, i.e. the distribution of 'Interferers' (nodes concurrently transmitting signals).

(1) the distribution of interfering nodes

(2) the multiple access scheme. E.g. **SCMA**

[2] Weber, Steven, and Jeffrey G. Andrews. "Transmission capacity of wireless networks." *Foundations and Trends® in Networking* 5.2–3 (2012): 109-281.



Modeling of Interference

Alpha-stable model:

Network Geometry --- PPP (Poisson Point Process)

Aggregate interference --- α -Stable^[2].

- 1) Characterizes impulsiveness
- 2) Captures the characteristics of network geometry

[2] ILOW, Jacek et HATZINAKOS, Dimitrios. Analytic alpha-stable noise modeling in a Poisson field of interferers or scatterers. *IEEE transactions on signal processing*, 1998, vol. 46, no 6, p. 1601-1611..



Modeling of Interference

Question : Why there is dependence of interference in wireless network?

Two determinants of interference:

- 1. Path Law**, e.g. **SIMO** – strong interference received on one antenna → strong one on another antenna.
- 2. Network Geometry**, (concurrently transmitting nodes).
 - (1) the distribution of nodes
 - (2) the multiple access scheme. E.g. **SCMA**



1. Modeling of Interference

2. SCMA

3. Copula Theory

4. Simulations



Model (PPP with λ) :

1. Each device transmits over a subset of orthogonal bands

$$B = \{1, \dots, K\}$$

2. Each device j transmits the message W_j uniformly drawn from the set $\omega_j = \{1, \dots, M\}$

3. Encoder maps each message to a SCMA codeword in \mathbb{C}^K

$$\mathcal{E}_j : \omega_j \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^K$$

4. One SCMA codeword is composed of m non-zero elements selected from the set of all authorized subsets of

$$B = \{1, \dots, K\}$$



Scenario --- General SCMA codebook

The non-zero elements of each codeword are assumed to be independently chosen for each device, i.e. each device selects the m bands uniformly from $B = \{1, \dots, K\}$.

Interference is

$$Z_k(t) = \sum_{j \in \Phi_t} h_{j,k}(t) r_j(t)^{-\eta/2} x_{j,k}(t), \quad k = 1, \dots, K \quad (2-1)$$

$h_{j,k}(t) \sim CN(0,1)$ ---- rayleigh fading of device j on band k

$r_j(t)$ ---- distance to the access point

η ---- path-loss exponent

Φ_t ---- PPP with $p\lambda$, where $p = \frac{\binom{K-1}{M-1}}{\binom{K}{M}}$



Scenario --- General SCMA codebook

1. $Z_k = z_{k,r} + i \cdot z_{k,i}$ is an isotropic complex α -stable variable, where $\alpha = 4/\eta$.

$$\vec{Z}_k = (z_{k,r}, z_{k,i}) = A^{1/2} (G_1, G_2) \quad (2-2)$$

$\vec{Z}_k = A^{1/2} (G_1, G_2)$ is sub-Gaussian, where

$$A \sim S_{\alpha/2} \left(\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{4} \alpha \right)^{2/\alpha}, 1, 0 \right) \text{ ---- skewed } \alpha\text{-stable}$$

G_1, G_2 ---- independent Gaussian variables, i.e

$$(G_1, G_2) \sim CN(0, \sigma^2 I)$$